PRELIMINARY ReadMe Notes for autochamber flux data 180411

more detailed metadata file under construction. Need to go through the log books carefully

Data start in Spring 2002 until end of 2017 - Really, two datasets

### 2002-2012

Small chambers (dimensions below) - flip tops - tops removed in winter

see Bäckstrand et al. 2008 a,b) for description

Total Hydrocarbon flux - i.e. reduced C in headspace measured with a THC analyzer (naked FID)

calibrated against CH4 and manual grab sample fluxes taken daily to weekly for CH4 fluxes

NO dependable CH4 numbers from ca day 2900 (2010) to day 3700 (2011)

the CO2 flux during this period looks suppressed but I have no way to dump the numbers

CO2 flux measured with a variety of IRGAs (LI 6252, LiCor gashound, Vaisala GMP 343)

The J-CO2 at night looks ok but I am worried that the limited number of plants in the smaller chambers might have affected the uptake numbers for the fen chambers 7 and 8  $\,$ 

Chamber 9 is odd man out - The chamber was put over an E. vaginatum tussock and it acted like a little greenhouse with the tussock just about filling the chamber - it looked different.

### 2012-2017

Larger clear chambers built based on previous designs. Lifts the entires chamber off the frame. Some rain shadow from north but weather is East-West in the Mire. Clear extneders used in tall vegetation see Bubier et al. Hydrol. Process. 16, 3667-3682 (2002)

CO2 and CH4 in headspace measured with an LGR beginning 2012

Ch9 is again odd - placed on a hummock (with sphagnum and palsa veg) in the fen 2012 - the hummock has transitioned since

Palsa chambers are threatened by expanding fen

Ch 1 moved ddmmyy

The boardwalk, originally to the west of the chambers between them and the fen

was moved to the east side ddmmyy

Ch3 now (2018) looks in trouble - strongly tilted

2003	484.756	4	17	119.756	0.755903	1 p	1	note 180409	
2003	484.768	4	17	119.768	0.768403	2 s	2	For Average Calculations uses AC_Jdata_02-17_allFiltrd:csv	
2003	484.781	4	17	119.781	0.780903	3 p	1	In AC_Jdata_02-17.xlsx	
2003	484.793	4	17	119.793	0.793403	4 s	2	CH4 NA = 55676	
2003	484.806	4	17	119.806	0.805903	5 p	1	CO2 NA = 6169	
								In AC_Jdata_02-17_allFitrd.csv.xlsx %n	
								CH4 NA = 60649	0.25
LGR installed							CO2 NA = 32408 - mostly Low PAR uptake fi	0.13	
								0f n=240417	

start	stop					
	147.706			31-Dec-16		
119.756	241.606	121.9	132.6216 avg start day	12-May-17	1-May-17	121
147.256	329.481	182.2	301.7994 avg end day	28-Oct-17	1-Nov-17	305
148.506	290.506	142.0	169.1778 avg length			
123.618	261.529	137.9				
153.100	326.106	173.0				
109.100	337.793	228.7				
	119.756 147.256 148.506 123.618 153.100	147.706 119.756 241.606 147.256 329.481 148.506 290.506 123.618 261.529 153.100 326.106	147.706 1119.756 241.606 121.9 147.256 329.481 182.2 148.506 290.506 142.0 123.618 261.529 137.9 153.100 326.106 173.0	147.706 119.756 241.606 121.9 132.6216 avg start day 147.256 329.481 182.2 301.7994 avg end day 148.506 290.506 142.0 169.1778 avg length 123.618 261.529 137.9 153.100 326.106 173.0	147.706 31-Dec-16 119.756 241.606 121.9 132.6216 avg start day 12-May-17 147.256 329.481 182.2 301.7994 avg end day 28-Oct-17 148.506 290.506 142.0 169.1778 avg length 123.618 261.529 137.9 153.100 326.106 173.0	147.706 31-Dec-16 119.756 241.606 121.9 132.6216 avg start day 12-May-17 1-May-17 147.256 329.481 182.2 301.7994 avg end day 28-Oct-17 1-Nov-17 148.506 290.506 142.0 169.1778 avg length 123.618 261.529 137.9 153.100 326.106 173.0

2010 149.631 339.531 2011 142.556 218.857 2012 2013 Continous

2009 110.006 287.843

2014 Continous 2015 Continous 2016 Continous 2017

## Flux calculation

R=0.08206 l atm/deg mol = 0.00008206 m3 atm/deg mol = 0.08206 ul atm/deg umol0.000001 l/ul P= 1 atm

Vc= Total vol above Ac = 0.16 m2 (all collars)

CH4 flux= rate ppm/min(P/R\*16g/mol)\*1/T\*Vc/Ac\*(I000mg/g\*1440min/d)=mg/m2 d =0.000001\*(1/0.00008206)\*16\*(1/(273.15+t))\*(1000\*1440)\*(1/0.16)\*Vc\*rate =6424.359 min mg l/ ul m5 d \* rate \* Vc

**CO2 flux** = rate ul/l min \* P/R \*1/T \* Vc/Ac \* 1000 l/m3 \* 1 min/60 sec = umol /m2/s =(1/0.08206)\*(1/273.15)\*(1/0.16)\*1000\*(1/60)\*Vc\*rate =4.64725 min umol I/ ul m5 sec \* rate \* Vc

177.8

189.9

**OLD CHAMBERS NEW CHAMBERS** until 15 Jul 11

Total vol

umolCO2/m2/s

new chambers (check operational time in Notes)

m3		old chmbrs	m3	m	old	operational	hts		
1	0.0273	1	0.031594	m3	total vol	vol/area	vol/area		
2	0.0326	2	0.028025	0.0273 3	0.0311	0.1745	0.1789	15-Jul-11 15:00	196.625
3	0.0286	3	0.0311	0.0326 4	0.0412	0.2308	0.2013	15-Jul-11 15:00	196.625 as of 17 Aug
4	0.0322	4	0.0412	0.0286 6	0.0356	0.1995	0.1478	15-Jul-11 15:00	196.625 as of 17 Aug
5	0.0310	5	0.032932	0.0322 8	0.1226	0.6870	0.3391	15-Jul-11 15:00	196.625 as of 17 Aug
6	0.0236	6	0.0356	0.0310 1	0.031594	0.177045	0.1704	15-Aug-11 11:46	227.490
7	0.0562	7	0.123942	0.0236 5	0.032932	0.184545	0.1938	15-Aug-11 12:33	227.523
8	0.0543	8	0.1226	0.0562 2	0.028025	0.157045	0.2035	15-Aug-11 14:04	227.586
9	0.0543	9	0.113829	0.0543 7	0.123942	0.694545	0.3511	17-Aug-11 12:32	229.522 as of 17 Aug
				0.0543 9	0.113829	0.637878	0.3391	17-Aug-11 16:15	229.677 as of 17 Aug

old Area=0.16 m2 new area=0.17845 m2

#### Calculation routine

Chambers are static closed systems. Two manifolds control the chamber closing and sampling

One system of air piloted 4 way slider valves opens and closes the chambers

Using the second manifold which routes the air from a specific chamber to the instruments

Each chamber is selected every 3 hours (p,s,p,s,p,s,e,e,e then outside air at 2m)

Every flux routine takes 18 minutes

- chamber is selected and flow is pulled from the sample line and pushed to the instruments then back to the chamber
- the line is rinsed for 10 min before chamber is closed (we have concentrations during this time)

chamber is closed for 5 minutes

chamber is opened and rinsed for 3 minutes before the next chamber is selected.

Timing control and data acquisition is with a Campbell CR10x

Instruments are queried every 3 seconds and 15 second averages are stored

Air pressure gauge (Vaisala PTB), LiCor quantum sensor (NOT well calibrated - consistent with other radiation measurement in the mire)

then T-107 (temperature of the AM416 surface where the chamber thermometers are attached)

T\_air and T\_grnd are rubber paint coated Type T thermocouples - they are NOT SHIELDED - these temperatures cannot be used to derive biophysics used for calculating haedspace gas volumes. I take them to be accurate to roughly 0.5 C.

then the analog out signal for dry CO2 and dry CH4 from the LGR (Carrie also takes data off the isotope lasers)

data files are transferred and appended daiy to an external computer. These data files are used for the calculation and then archived.

Use the concentration changes with time, the chamber headspace temperature and the chamber geometries

chamber closure is recognixed (flagged in the data file) then 30 seconds after that record a series of eight 2.5 min linear slopes are calculated. The slope/line with the best fit (r2) is taken for the CH4 fluxes and for CO2 emissions

IF there is uptake of CO2 (negative slope) then we take the steepest slope (worried about leaf level saturation)

First level of QA/Qcis a check after calculation. Obvious temperature errors can be fixed.

E.g. if the T-air is -6999 then the flux can often be corrected using the temps just before and just after the run

For stor\_j\_YYYY.xlsx files all CH4 fluxes below -10 mg/m2/d removed (assuming this is the physical limit of diffusion

all CO2 fluxes above 15 and below -20 umol/m2/s removed

all 0 CH4 fluxes removed

all -6999 temps corrected (except ch 10)

all PAR<0 set to 0 (Sometimes)

some uncorrectable fluxes (e.g. Known chamber closure problems, pump issues, whacky concentrations, etc.) removed Summary files made from stor\_j\_YYYY files.

I add filter flags to the archived summary files.

### DATA FLAGS

chambers were switched 2011 Chamber flag

1 small flip tops - Area = 0.16 m2

2 large clear chambers Area = 0.18 m2

Questions CO2; Questions CH4

1 OK

3 Less confidence (see notes)

4 either CO2 or CH4 look wrong; other looks ok

5 Both CO2 and CH4 look wrong (see notes)

r2 flag NEE, r2 flag CH4

1 r2>0.399, 95%

2 0.399 < r2 > 0.301, 90%

3 r2 < 0.301, 90%

Two tailed significance level for r

Table A10, Snedecor and Cochran, 1989

Day/Night filter 23-1 average PAR values from Palsa

2012

2013 4.6 Low values assigned 0 this year

2014 42 Low values assigned 0 this year

2015 90

2016 89

1 avgPAR<90 0 avgPAR>90

	number			
Lo PAR Uptake	2012	1123		
1 if negative and avgPAR<90	2013	1877		
0	2014	1068		
	2015	1121		
CO2 removed	2016	1483		
	2017	1525		
Hi CH4 filter	nun	nber (includes "NA")		
1 if ppm CH4>2.7 ppm or NA	2012	1728		
0 if ppm CH4<2.7 ppm	2013	313		
	2014	433		
	2015	815		
	2016	2705 period of broken pump on LGR		
Both CO2 and CH4 removed	2017	767		

# Hi CO2 filter

1 if ppm CO2>400 ppm or NA 0 if ppm CO2<400 ppm or NA

These two filters are problematic in that during stable nocturnal periods CH4/CO2 can be substantially enhanced.

Both CO2 and CH4 removed